

### **REMARKS**

This Amendment, Election and Response is in response to the PTO Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated January 18, 2007. The full text of “withdrawn” Claims 64, 66 and 68 now appears in the Listing of Claims.

In response to the USPTO Restriction Requirement dated November 28, 2006, Applicant hereby elects with traverse to prosecute the Group I claims, namely Claims 46 – 59, drawn to a router attachment. Claims 64, 66 and 68 have accordingly been withdrawn as being directed to non-elected inventions.

Claims 60 – 63, 65, 67 and 69 have herein been rewritten to bring them within the scope of the Group I claims. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that Claims 60 – 63, 65, 67 and 69, as amended, be considered along with elected Claims 46 – 59. The Examiner should note that this application is a Sec. 371 application. In accordance with MPEP Sec. 1896(IV), Sec. 371 applications “are subject to unity of invention practice in accordance with 37 CFR 1.475 and 1.499” rather than the more restrictive U.S. restriction practice in accordance with 37 CFR 1.141-1.146.

Applicant also reserves the right to timely file one or more divisional patent applications directed to the inventions of the non-elected claims.

Application No. 10/529,952  
Amendment, Election and Response


PATENT  
Attorney Docket No.: LUC-011

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 46 – 63, 65, 67 and 69 now pending in this application are in condition for allowance, and an early notification thereof is earnestly requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date February 2, 2007  
Andover-IP-Law  
44 Park Street, Suite 300  
Andover, MA 01810  
Telephone: (978) 470-0990  
Facsimile: (978) 470-0993

  
David Silverstein  
Registration Number 26,336  
Attorney for Applicant